


Overview of a Groundwater Study to Evaluate the Impacts of Non-Metallic Mining & Irrigated Agriculture in Western Chippewa County, Wisconsin

Chippewa County Board Presentation
1/8/13

Dan Masterpole
Dept. Director/Co. Conservationist

dmasterpole@co.chippewa.wi.us
www.co.chippewa.wi.us
LCFM/Non-Metallic Mines/Program Info.





- Overview of the issue
- Overview of hydrological study:
 - Purpose
 - Study approach/methods
- Overview of model approach:
 - SWB (Soil Water Balance)
 - MODFLOW
- Status of project & data collection
- Lessons learned
- Q & A



Global demand for food, fiber, & energy have increased demands on the land & natural resource base in Midwest States:

- Increased acreage of irrigated agriculture
- New demand for “frac sand”

Public concerns expressed regarding impacts on:

- Groundwater supplies
- Surface waters


In some areas of MN & WI, sandstone formations occur at or near the surface

Sand from several of these formations has physical properties that allow it to be used in oil & gas well development




- St. Peter Formation
- Jordan Formation
- Wonewoc Formation

These formations can provide a stable supply of “frac sand”, that will be used to offset global energy demand

OUTCROP AREA OF THE CAMBRIAN SANDSTONES WISCONSIN AND MINNESOTA





Source: GOLD, IRON, COPPER, ZINC, AND SAND; WHAT'S DRIVING THE NEW INTEREST IN MINING AND MINERAL RESOURCES IN WISCONSIN Bruce A. Brown (WGNHS – UWEX)



WI Industrial Sand (7/22/2012)



2012 Map of frac sand facilities in Wisconsin




Source: WisconsinWatch.org (7/22/12)

Sand Mines in Chippewa County



Nonmetallic Mines in Bedrock Permits & Permit Applications in Chippewa County



Legend	
— Roads	Permitted Mine Parcels
— Railroads	Application Received
— Cities & Villages	Dry Processing Plant
— Town Boundaries	Jordan & Wenevoc Sandstone Formation
— County Boundary	



Date: 9/27/2012



Role of County (if no zoning):

- Receive & review non-metallic mine reclamation permit applications & plans
- Facilitate public participation via public notice & hearing process
- Develop reclamation permit conditions & issue permits if state reclamation standards can be met
- Administer ongoing reclamation program & assure permit compliance

Public hearing concerns:

- Location of industrial sand mines/processing facilities in proximity to headwater streams & domestic wells
- Cumulative impacts of multiple mines/processing facilities (high density)

Public hearing concerns:

“What will be the short and long-term affects on groundwater levels and stream base flow” caused by:

- Changes in topography & recharge?
- Additional groundwater use?



1. Form a “Coalition of the Willing”, comprised of project stakeholders:
 - Mining interests
 - Ag. Interests
 - Agencies
 - Conservation orgs.
2. Collaborate to share info. & resources (staff hrs., skills sets, \$)
3. Contract independent agencies to do a science-based hydrologic study
 - USGS
 - WGNHS

Benefits to participants:

- Provides all parties with the best available information to support informed decision making by:

- General public
- Facility operators
- Regulatory agencies
- Local units of government



1. Develop soil water balance & groundwater flow models to evaluate the impacts of current and future water use & topography on the hydrologic system
2. Disseminate the study results to project stakeholders & public
3. Transfer the results to similar geologic & hydrologic settings



Mining interests

- Superior Silica Sands
- Preferred Sands
- Chippewa Sands
- EOG Resources
- Taylor Creek Transit
- Others (as mines open)

Irrigated Ag. Interests

- WI Farmer's Union
- (2) producers

Agencies

- USGS
- WGNHS/UWEX
- DNR
- LCFM

Env. & Public Interests

- Trout Unlimited
- (1) citizen rep.



USGS

Surface Water Monitoring

R. Waschbusch

Groundwater Modeling

M. Fienen

P. Juckem



WGNHS

M. Parsen

M. Gotkowitz



WDNR

Reg. Water Res. Team

Reg. Fisheries Team



Five (5) year project

•7/1/2011 – 12/31/2016

Range

\$500,000 - \$600,000

Variables


- # of gauging stations, well monitoring networks
- # of cooperating parties
- Amount of data and \$ contributed by cooperating parties

Proposed Cost Distribution



REVENUE SOURCES GROUNDWATER STUDY BUDGET

Figure 2




WGNHS	\$ 40,725
USGS	\$155,700
Chippewa Co.	\$100,000
Industry	\$150,000
Grants	<u>\$179,500</u>
Total	\$625,925



1. Stream gauges (3 sites – 3 yrs) to record baseflow discharge
2. Drill logs & onsite boreholes to characterize sandstone strata
3. Monitoring well networks to record groundwater elevations, fluctuations, & flow gradients
4. High-cap well pumping records & pump test to characterize groundwater use, yield, & response
5. Site specific measurements & case studies
 - Water budgets
 - Storm pond infiltration
 - Weather station (ET)
 - Groundwater chemistry

Mines & Monitoring Points



Data Commitments by Stakeholders



Table 1

LCFM 9/24/12

**TENTATIVE COMMITMENTS TOWARD DATA SHARING TO CHARACTERIZE RESOURCE CONDITIONS
AND DEVELOP A PREDICTIVE GROUNDWATER MODEL TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTS
OF WATER WITHDRAWS ASSOCIATED WITH THE WONEWOC, EAU CLAIRE, AND MT. SIMON SANDSTONE FORMATIONS**

Cooperating Parties	Baseline Resource Characterization					Resource Response & Monitoring					
	Geology			Groundwater		Groundwater				Baseflow	
	PPT/ET Weather Station	High Cap. Drill Logs	Borehole Analysis	Monitor Well Network & Elev. Map	Deep/Shallow Nest	Pump Test	Monitoring (Vol.)	Monitoring (Chemistry)	Modeling +(3)	Monitoring (Vol.)	Monitoring (Biology)
Superior Silica Sands		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	
Preferred Sands		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓+(1)	✓+(2)	✓	✓	
Chippewa Sands		✓		✓			✓		✓	✓	
EOG Resources	?			✓			✓	✓+(2)			
Taylor Creek Transit				✓			✓				
Western WI Sand Co.											
A & M Mikl Sands		✓	✓	✓							
Trout Unlimited									✓	?	?
WI Farmer's Union									✓		
WGNHS									✓		
USGS									✓	✓	
DNR									✓	✓	✓
Chippewa County									✓		


Explanatory Notes:

- (1.) Groundwater Monitoring (Vol.) includes commitment to place continuous data loggers on wells in the monitoring well network.
- (2.) Groundwater Monitoring (Chemistry) includes commitment to sample monitor wells located on the mine site and select domestic wells located adjacent the mine site.
- (3.) Initial interest in participating in model design.

There are two study components (conducted in parallel):

1. A technical investigation & modeling component
2. A public outreach & reporting component
 - Specific tasks & products are scheduled under each component to coincide with model development

Figure 1 - Preliminary Model Area



MODFLOW model (3D/steady state conditions)

- Used to characterize the hydrologic system & evaluate changes resulting from groundwater withdrawal
- Used for scenario testing & predictions:
 - Changes in hydrologic conditions (i.e. drought/wet cycle)
 - Changes in water use
 - New wells
 - Alt. pumping rates and duration
 - Water conservation BMP's

SWB model (Soil Water Balance)

- Used with MODFLOW to:
 - Estimate recharge to the groundwater system
 - Evaluate impacts from changes to topography, soils, & land cover

1. Data collection & interpretation (2012-2013)

- Collect available hydrologic/geologic data for model development

2. Soil Water Balance (SWB) modeling (2014)

- Build model & evaluate recharge under select scenarios

- Current (pre-mining)
- Future (post-mining)



3. Groundwater modeling & calibration (2013-2015)

- Build MODFLOW model & calibrate to steady state condition/pre-mining landscape

4. Scenario testing (2016)

- Apply combined models (SWB/MODFLOW) to evaluate impacts of changes in pumping rates & recharge under select scenarios

-Peak mine expansion & irrigation (~2030?)

-Post-mine reclamation (~2050?)



5. Transferability

(2017)

- Apply model to evaluate generalized system response to areas outside the model boundary with comparable with geologic/hydrologic setting
- Develop logical “rules of thumb” to support qualitative assessments of hydrologic response to changes in groundwater pumping



- Stream Gauging and groundwater monitoring networking installed
- Study design completed & service contracts signed
- Stakeholder group formed & data sharing commitments made
- Data collection/Compilation (Q4; 2012)
- Modeling/Public outreach (2013)

Data Collection - Stream Gauges



Chippewa County Department of Land Conservation and Forest Management

Discharge, cubic feet per second




Most recent instantaneous value: 1.0 03-23-2012 11:45 CDT

Temperature, water, degrees Celsius

Most recent instantaneous value: 11.6 03-23-2012 11:45 CDT

Specific conductance, water, unfiltered, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius


Most recent instantaneous value: 117 03-23-2012 11:45 CDT





Data Collection - Onsite Borehole Logging



Data Collection - Geophysical Borehole Analysis



Data Collection - Groundwater Elevation Monitoring



Data Collections - Case Studies – Water Mngt. – Wash Process



Data Collection - Case Studies – Water Mngt. - Infiltration





Lessons Learned

- Public is concerned about the quality of the environment & will participate in the permitting process
- Top tier mining companies have made commitments to address local water mngt. concerns via environmental monitoring & use of Best Management Practices (BMP's)
- Local/State/Fed. agencies have been responsive & have initiated research to address the groundwater mngt. concerns (Results to follow)
- Think globally/Act locally

Conclusion



Questions/Suggestions?

Full study proposal available at www.chippewa.wi.us,
LCFM/Non-Metallic Mines/Program Info.